

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)

CR-24-0220-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v MICHAEL DARRIN ISHAM

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 21-Aug-2024
Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

• State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Respondent

Philip D Garrow, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22458)

Side 2. MICHAEL DARRIN ISHAM, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) MICHAEL DARRIN ISHAM

• Michael Darrin Isham

PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Aug 21, 2024....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-CR 23-0440 PRPC				
↳ MAR	CR2010-147426-001		Michael S Mandell, Judge on PC Comments: (none)		

5 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 21-Aug-2024 FILED: (Rec'd from CofA 8/21/24) Cross - Petition for Review to the Supreme Court (Petitioner Isham, Pro Se)
- 26-Aug-2024 SENT: Letter to Petitioner Re: PR
- 3-Sep-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 6-Sep-2024 FILED: Motion for Extended Word Count (Petitioner Isham, Pro Se)
- 6-Sep-2024 Petitioner Isham filed a "Motion for Extended Word Count" on September 6, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by September 23, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)